

Screening Equality Impact Assessment – to identify potential differential impacts on protected groups of any new or changing activities and establish whether a full Equality Impact Assessment is needed.

Service	Planning Services	Officer responsible for the screening/scoping	Sarah-Jane Grant		
Name of the activity to be assessed	Draft Local Plan: development management policies (Regulation 18 consultation stage)	Date of Assessment	14/02/2020 (Draft)	Is this a proposed new or existing activity? The draft Local Plan development management policies (DM DPD) is intended to consist of new policies. The current stage is identifying issues, options and preferred options for policies.	
1. Briefly describe the aims, objectives and purpose of the activity?	<p>The draft DM DPD will provide detailed development management policies that will be used when the Council determines planning applications. When adopted, it will form Part 2 of the Guildford Local Plan, alongside the 'Strategy and Sites' document (Part 1) adopted in April 2019.</p> <p>The draft DM DPD sets out various issues, options and our preferred options for potential development management policies that will help manage development across Guildford borough.</p>				
2. Are there any associated or specific objectives of the activity? Please explain.	<p>A key objective / aim of the Local Plan process is to gather information on the views of the local community and other stakeholders about the key issues in the borough and the potential policy options, including the Council's preferred option, for addressing the identified planning issues.</p> <p>The plan's objectives are carried forward from the 'Local Plan: strategy and sites' (LPSS) strategic objectives. These include a range of social objectives, such as: to deliver sufficient sustainable development that meets all identified needs; to improve opportunities for all residents in the borough to access suitable housing, employment, training, education, open space, leisure, community and health facilities; and to ensure that all development is of high quality design and enables people to live safe healthy and active lifestyles, along with various environmental, economic and infrastructure objectives.</p>				
3. Who is intended to benefit from this activity	The Local Plan will benefit all those who live, work, run businesses, play, visit or travel in the				

Screening Equality Impact Assessment – to identify potential differential impacts on protected groups of any new or changing activities and establish whether a full Equality Impact Assessment is needed.

<p>and in what way?</p>	<p>borough, by providing policies that promote more sustainable and inclusive development with higher design standards. The plan will help to increase certainty for residents, businesses, and others about how planning decisions are made and what they are based on.</p>
<p>4. What outcomes are wanted from this activity?</p>	<p>The Council is seeking to progress its preferred options for development management policies. The preferred options reflect the Council's preference for the direction that these policies will take. These preferred options are being engaged upon and the Council is seeking inputs from a broad cross-section of the borough's communities as well as other stakeholders.</p> <p>Ultimately, the Council will seek development which aligns with the development management policies that are eventually adopted. It is anticipated that this will have a range of positive social, environmental and economic outcomes which will achieve the Local Plan objectives. Sustainability Appraisal (SA) will be undertaken alongside plan preparation. This will appraise emerging policies against a number of social, economic and environmental objectives. This includes a number of social objectives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To meet housing requirements of the whole community and provide housing of a suitable mix and type • To facilitate improved health and well-being of the population, enabling people to stay independent and reducing inequalities in health • To create and maintain safer and more secure communities and improve the quality of where people live and work • To reduce poverty and social exclusion for all sectors of the community • To create and sustain vibrant communities • To improve levels of education and skills in the population overall <p>The SA process will enable a greater understanding of whether the emerging policies perform positively against these objectives and highlight opportunities to improve or enhance this through amendments to the policy.</p>

Screening Equality Impact Assessment – to identify potential differential impacts on protected groups of any new or changing activities and establish whether a full Equality Impact Assessment is needed.

5. What factors/forces could contribute/detract from the outcomes?	The engagement process provides an opportunity to contribute to the achieving the outcomes and Local Plan objectives.		
6. Who are the main stakeholders in relation to the activity?	<p>Main stakeholders include: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statutory organisations • Local residents and amenity groups • Neighbourhood, local and national pressure groups • Parish councils • Developers, landowners. 	7. Who implements the activity, and who is responsible for the activity?	The Council (in particular the development management service) will be the primary implementers of the policies, using them to assess planning applications.
8. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact on racial groups?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	None.
What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?	<p>At this stage, the Council considers that the preferred options for draft DM DPD policies as a whole are not likely to have a differential impact in relation to racial groups.</p> <p>Travellers are a specific race protected under the Race Relations Act. The Council has produced a Traveller Accommodation Assessment (2017) and the LPSS prioritised addressing the accommodation needs of Travellers in its policies (see for example Policy H1(7), H3 and relevant site allocation Policies). The Council's Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) provides an indication of performance in meeting needs related to the Local Plan.</p> <p>The Council has been mindful of opportunities to further advance greater equality in the drafting of preferred options for policies across the range of topics in the draft DM DPD. The Council will consider feedback from public consultation including any opportunities that may be identified to address possible differential impacts on racial groups in the borough linked to the policy topic areas being considered. This will feed into the refinement of policies prior to regulation 19 consultation on draft DM policies.</p>		
9. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact on grounds of gender?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	None.

Screening Equality Impact Assessment – to identify potential differential impacts on protected groups of any new or changing activities and establish whether a full Equality Impact Assessment is needed.

<p>What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?</p>	<p>At this stage, the Council considers that the preferred options for draft DM DPD policies as a whole are not likely to have a differential impact in relation to gender.</p> <p>The Council has been mindful of opportunities to further advance greater equality in the drafting of preferred options for policies across the range of topics in the draft DM DPD. The Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report January 2020 identified that deficiencies in open space provision may have a disproportionate effect on certain groups, i.e. women who are lone parents and families with children under 5. The Council through its DM policies aims to promote access to safe, inclusive and accessible, open spaces, in particular for women and children, older persons and persons with disabilities (specifically through SA objective 3. To create and maintain safer and more secure communities and improve the quality of where people live and work).</p> <p>The Council will consider feedback from public consultation including any opportunities that may be identified to address possible differential impacts in relation to gender in the borough linked to the policy topic areas being considered. This will feed into the refinement of policies prior to regulation 19 consultation on draft DM policies.</p>		
<p>10. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact on those who have a disability?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N</p>	<p>None.</p>
<p>What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?</p>	<p>At this stage, the Council considers that the preferred options for draft DM DPD policies as a whole are not likely to have a differential impact in relation to those who have a disability.</p> <p>The NPPF includes reference to addressing the housing needs for different groups in the community. This includes people with disabilities (see paragraph 61). The Local Plan: strategy and sites 2019 (LPSS) has responded to this through its policies including in relation to accessible homes (Policy H1). The draft DM DPD seeks to develop policies in line with these aims as outlined within the LPSS.</p> <p>The NPPF (para 110) indicates that applications for development should address the needs of</p>		

Screening Equality Impact Assessment – to identify potential differential impacts on protected groups of any new or changing activities and establish whether a full Equality Impact Assessment is needed.

	<p>people with disabilities and reduced mobility in relation to all modes of transport. The LPSS policies regarding development seek to locate growth in areas that are accessible by public transport, or can be made so, and sustainable transport policies require that new development maximises opportunities for people with disabilities to access all modes of transport. Policies also state that all new development will be designed to meet the needs of all users, including the setting of the building in the wider environment, the location of the building, the gradient of the plot, transport infrastructure and the public realm.</p> <p>Sites and improvements to public spaces will need to be designed to comply with the Disability Discrimination Act 1995.</p> <p>The Council has been mindful of opportunities to further advance greater equality in the drafting of preferred options for policies across the range of topics in the draft DM DPD (particularly those relating to design and infrastructure). For example, new open spaces are expected to be safe and secure for all members of the community and new community facilities must be appropriate in design terms (see also LPSS policy D1). The SA Scoping report has identified that an estimated number of autistic adults and adults with learning disability is expected to increase steadily over time in line with population growth. Population growth is expected to be greatest among the over 65 age group and this, coupled with increases in life expectancy, will result in more autistic adults and adults with learning disabilities requiring additional care and support associated with older age (specifically through SA objective 2. To facilitate improved health and well-being of the population, enabling people to stay independent and reducing inequalities in health).</p> <p>The Council will consider feedback from public consultation, including any opportunities that may be identified to address possible differential impacts in relation to those who have a disability in the borough linked to the policy topic areas being considered. This will feed into the refinement of policies prior to regulation 19 consultation on draft DM policies.</p>		
<p>11. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact on grounds of sexual orientation?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N</p>	<p>None.</p>

Screening Equality Impact Assessment – to identify potential differential impacts on protected groups of any new or changing activities and establish whether a full Equality Impact Assessment is needed.

<p>What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?</p>	<p>At this stage, the Council considers that the preferred options for draft DM DPD policies as a whole are not likely to have a differential impact on the grounds of sexual orientation.</p> <p>The Council has been mindful of opportunities to further advance greater equality in the drafting of preferred options for policies across the range of topics in the draft DM DPD. The Council will consider feedback from public consultation including any opportunities that may be identified to address possible differential impacts on the grounds of sexual orientation in the borough linked to the policy topic areas being considered. This will feed into the refinement of policies prior to regulation 19 consultation on draft DM policies.</p>		
<p>12. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact on grounds of age?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N</p>	<p>None.</p>
<p>What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?</p>	<p>At this stage, the Council considers that the preferred options for draft DM DPD policies as a whole are not likely to have a differential impact on the grounds of age.</p> <p>The Council has been mindful of opportunities to further advance greater equality in the drafting of preferred options for policies across the range of topics in the draft DM DPD. An example of this is policy H5 which sets out criteria for residential annexes, which will enable families of different generations to live together and help address the cost of care in later life. The Council will consider feedback from public consultation including any opportunities that may be identified to address possible differential impacts on the grounds of age in the borough linked to the policy topic areas being considered. This will feed into the refinement of policies prior to regulation 19 consultation on draft DM policies.</p>		
<p>13. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact on grounds of religious belief?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N</p>	<p>None.</p>

Screening Equality Impact Assessment – to identify potential differential impacts on protected groups of any new or changing activities and establish whether a full Equality Impact Assessment is needed.

<p>What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?</p>	<p>At this stage, the Council considers that the preferred options for draft DM DPD policies as a whole are not likely to have a differential impact on the grounds of religious belief.</p> <p>The preferred option in relation to a draft policy for Community Facilities (which includes places of worship) reflects provisions supporting their development and retention. This may have a positive impact in relation to religious groups who use these facilities.</p> <p>The Council has been mindful of opportunities to further advance greater equality in the drafting of preferred options for policies across the range of topics in the draft DM DPD. The Council will consider feedback from public consultation including any opportunities that may be identified to address possible differential impacts on the religious belief in the borough linked to the policy topic areas being considered. This will feed into the refinement of policies prior to regulation 19 consultation on draft DM policies.</p>		
<p>14. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact on those who have caring responsibilities?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N</p>	<p>None.</p>
<p>What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?</p>	<p>At this stage, the Council considers that the preferred options for draft DM DPD policies as a whole are not likely to have a differential impact on those who have caring responsibilities.</p> <p>The Council has been mindful of opportunities to further advance greater equality in the drafting of preferred options for policies across the range of topics in the draft DM DPD. Within Policy H5 support (subject to certain criteria) is given for house extensions and alterations, including basement conversions and annexes. This will help those with caring responsibilities adapt their housing so they can support relatives with dependency needs, such as the elderly or those with disabilities, within their own home. The Council will consider feedback from public consultation including any opportunities that may be identified to address possible differential impacts on those who have caring responsibilities in the borough linked to the policy topic areas being considered. This will feed into the refinement of policies prior to regulation 19 consultation on draft DM policies.</p>		

Screening Equality Impact Assessment – to identify potential differential impacts on protected groups of any new or changing activities and establish whether a full Equality Impact Assessment is needed.

<p>15. Are there concerns that the activity could have a differential impact on grounds of marital status or civil partnership?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N</p>	<p>None.</p>
<p>What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?</p>	<p>At this stage, the Council considers that the preferred options for draft DM DPD policies as a whole are not likely to have a differential impact on the grounds of marital status or civil partnership.</p> <p>The Council has been mindful of opportunities to further advance greater equality in the drafting of preferred options for policies across the range of topics in the draft DM DPD. The Council will consider feedback from public consultation including any opportunities that may be identified to address possible differential impacts on the grounds of marital status or civil partnership in the borough linked to the policy topic areas being considered. This will feed into the refinement of policies prior to regulation 19 consultation on draft DM policies.</p>		
<p>16. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact due a woman’s pregnancy or maternity?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N</p>	<p>None.</p>
<p>What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?</p>	<p>At this stage, the Council considers that the preferred options for draft DM DPD policies as a whole are not likely to have a differential impact based on pregnancy or maternity.</p> <p>The Council has been mindful of opportunities to further advance greater equality in the drafting of preferred options for policies across the range of topics in the draft DM DPD. The Council will consider feedback from public consultation including any opportunities that may be identified to address possible differential impacts based on pregnancy or maternity in the borough linked to the policy topic areas being considered. This will feed into the refinement of policies prior to regulation 19 consultation on draft DM policies.</p>		
<p>17. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to gender reassignment?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N</p>	<p>None.</p>

Screening Equality Impact Assessment – to identify potential differential impacts on protected groups of any new or changing activities and establish whether a full Equality Impact Assessment is needed.

<p>What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?</p>			<p>At this stage, the Council considers that the preferred options for draft DM DPD policies as a whole are not likely to have a differential impact based on gender reassignment.</p> <p>The Council has been mindful of opportunities to further advance greater equality in the drafting of preferred options for policies across the range of topics in the draft DM DPD. The Council will consider feedback from public consultation including any opportunities that may be identified to address possible differential impacts based on gender reassignment in the borough linked to the policy topic areas being considered. This will feed into the refinement of policies prior to regulation 19 consultation on draft DM policies.</p>
<p>18. Could any differential impact identified in 8-17 amount to there being the potential for adverse impact in this activity?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N</p>	<p>No. At this stage the Council is of the view that the preferred options for policies would not hold the potential for adverse impacts from this activity. The Council will however carefully consider responses to the regulation 18 consultation in relation to potential adverse impacts that may be identified by stakeholders and refine the policies further prior to regulation 19 consultation including in the light of these responses.</p>
<p>19. Can this adverse impact be justified on the grounds of promoting equality of opportunity for one or more of the protected groups or any other reason?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p>N</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>

Screening Equality Impact Assessment – to identify potential differential impacts on protected groups of any new or changing activities and establish whether a full Equality Impact Assessment is needed.

<p>20. If the activity is of a strategic nature, could it help to reduce inequalities associated with socio-economic disadvantage?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	<input type="checkbox"/> N	<p>The Council has been mindful of opportunities in this regard in the drafting of preferred options for policies and will continue to be so when reviewing regulation 18 consultation responses and drafting DM policies.</p> <p>Preferred DM DPD policy options, particularly those relating to design and infrastructure may provide a basis for reducing inequalities associated with socio-economic disadvantage and provide an opportunity to plan positively to reduce deprivation and improve social inclusion. This includes in relation to preferred options for requirements for the provision of open space to meet all peoples’ needs. For instance, expectations for the provision of community growing space provide an opportunity to contribute to addressing food insecurity. Furthermore, proposed requirements relating to the provision of different types of open space, as well as ensuring that it is safe for all members of the community provides a basis for ensuring access to these opportunities for all. Further opportunities have been sought to strengthen new-build standards to ensure they are designed for a changing climate, are future-proofed for low-carbon heating, designed and constructed to provide for the comfort, health, and wellbeing of current and future occupiers over the lifetime of the development; and deliver high levels of energy efficiency to provide environmental improvements and reduce fuel poverty. This in turn is intended to contribute to wellbeing and involvement in public life, including for those at a socio-economic disadvantage. The promotion of access to social opportunities also extends to preferred policy options for community facilities. These aim to ensure that facilities are accessible to all and are not unnecessarily lost.</p> <p>The Council will carefully consider responses to the regulation 18 consultation in terms of whether there are further opportunities that could be taken in this regard.</p>
<p>21. Is there any concern that there are unmet needs in relation to any of the above protected groups?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	<p>At this stage no concerns have been identified in relation to unmet needs in relation to the above-mentioned groups. Many of the needs of protected groups have been addressed by the LPSS.</p>
<p>22. Does ‘differential impact’ or ‘unmet need’ cut across one or more of the protected groups (e.g. elder BME groups)?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	<p>It is not considered that the policy options and preferred policy approach will have a differential impact, nor that there is an unmet need that cuts across one or more of the protected groups.</p>

Screening Equality Impact Assessment – to identify potential differential impacts on protected groups of any new or changing activities and establish whether a full Equality Impact Assessment is needed.

<p>23. If yes, should a full EIA, if necessary, be conducted jointly with another service area or contractor or partner or agency?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p>N</p>	<p>Not applicable. At this stage, no need is identified to conduct a full EIA.</p>																																																																													
<p>24. Is there a missed opportunity to improve this activity to meet the general duties placed on public bodies to eliminate unlawful discrimination, to advance equality of opportunity and to promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics and those who do not?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p>N</p>	<p>The Council is mindful of the aims of the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) (section 149 of the Equality Act 2010) and has given and will continue to give particular thought to how the DM DPD preferred options and policies may be formulated in a manner that advances these aims and does not discriminate, including indirectly, on any sector of society.</p> <p>In developing the DM DPD, the Council will continue to have an open mind regarding any potential further opportunities that may be taken to advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it.</p> <p>The Council will continue to be alert to how preferred options and draft policies may or may not impact on particular groups and have due regard to the interests and needs of those sharing the protected characteristics under the Equality Act.</p> <p>The Council will consider responses to the regulation 18 consultation to assist in identifying opportunities to advance the aims of the PSED.</p>																																																																													
<p>25. Should the policy proceed to a full equality impact assessment? Please use the scoring process in the right-hand column to guide you.</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p>N</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="11"> <p>0 – no possible relevance or adverse impact 1 – extremely low relevance and adverse impact 2 – relatively low relevance and adverse impact 3 – medium relevance and adverse impact 4 - relatively high relevance and adverse impact</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0-11 points</td> <td>low adverse impact, no need for full EIA</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>12-20points</td> <td>medium adverse impact, full EIA required</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-27 points</td> <td>high adverse impact, full EIA required</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Age</td> <td>Disability</td> <td>Mat</td> <td>Gender</td> <td>Marriage</td> <td>Race</td> <td>Trans</td> <td>Sexuality</td> <td>Religion</td> <td>Total</td> <td>Impact</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>4</td> <td>Low</td> </tr> </table>	<p>0 – no possible relevance or adverse impact 1 – extremely low relevance and adverse impact 2 – relatively low relevance and adverse impact 3 – medium relevance and adverse impact 4 - relatively high relevance and adverse impact</p>																						0-11 points	low adverse impact, no need for full EIA										12-20points	medium adverse impact, full EIA required										21-27 points	high adverse impact, full EIA required										Age	Disability	Mat	Gender	Marriage	Race	Trans	Sexuality	Religion	Total	Impact	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	Low
<p>0 – no possible relevance or adverse impact 1 – extremely low relevance and adverse impact 2 – relatively low relevance and adverse impact 3 – medium relevance and adverse impact 4 - relatively high relevance and adverse impact</p>																																																																																
0-11 points	low adverse impact, no need for full EIA																																																																															
12-20points	medium adverse impact, full EIA required																																																																															
21-27 points	high adverse impact, full EIA required																																																																															
Age	Disability	Mat	Gender	Marriage	Race	Trans	Sexuality	Religion	Total	Impact																																																																						
1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	Low																																																																						
<p>26. If a full EIA is not required, are there any changes required to the proposal to improve it around the equality agenda?</p>			<p>There are no changes considered to be required at this point.</p>																																																																													

Screening Equality Impact Assessment – to identify potential differential impacts on protected groups of any new or changing activities and establish whether a full Equality Impact Assessment is needed.

27. How will any actions identified in 20. to 26. above be taken forward?			Any comments in relation to improving the DM DPD in terms of the potential to support Council's obligations in terms of the PSED will be considered. The regulation 18 consultation is considered to be an opportunity to identify opportunities in this regard.
--	--	--	--

Signed



Riaan van Eeden (completing officer)

Date: 14 February 2020


Signed



Stuart Harrison (Head of Service)

Date: 14 February 2020

Countersigned



Peter Stevens / Sarah-Jane Grant (member of Equality Action Group)

Date: 14 February 2020